		Ē
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	
10	CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF Case No. 34-2008-00014476-CU-WM-GDS	
11	PROFESSIONAL SCIENTISTS, Department 33	
12	Petitioner,	
13	v. <u>PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE</u>	
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT — OF PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION;	ŀ
15	DAVID GILB, DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL	
16	ADMINISTRATION; STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	
17	FINANCE; MICHAEL GENEST, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE; STATE	
18	CONTROLLER JOHN CHIANG; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE,	
19	Respondents.	
20		
21	TO RESPONDENTS STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION: DAVID GILB, DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL	1
22	ADMINISTRATION; STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE; AND MICHAEL GENEST, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE:	
23	Judgment having been entered in this proceeding, ordering that a peremptory writ of	
24	mandate issue from this court,	
25	You are hereby commanded, immediately upon receiving personal service of this	
26	writ, to perform the following acts:	
27	1. Respondents Department of Personnel Administration and the Director of the	
28	Department of Personnel Administration shall make salary adjustments for the 14 supervisory	

٠

.

scientist classifications recommended on April 28, 2008, by the Director of the Department of
Personnel Administration pursuant to the like-pay-for-like-work principle in subdivision (a) of
Government Code section 19826 to the extent that the adjustments do not require expenditures in
excess of existing appropriations that may be used for salary purposes.

2. For as long as the adjustments recommended for the 14 supervisory scientist 5 6 classifications require expenditures in excess of existing appropriations that may be used for 7 salary purposes, respondents Department of Finance and the Director of Finance shall include 8 accurate information furnished by respondent Department of Personnel Administration about the 9 amount of funds needed for the salary adjustments in a Budget Act Log or other document 10 presented to the Legislature for its consideration in appropriating funds for state employees' 11 salary increases. Respondents shall continue to present this information to the Legislature in a 12 suitable document until the Legislature appropriates funds needed to implement the 13 recommended adjustments pursuant to the like-pay-for-like-work principle in subdivision (a) of 14 Government Code section-19826 or until respondents Department of Personnel Administration 15 and Director of the Department of Personnel Administration determine in an appropriate quasi-16 legislative proceeding that the factual basis for the recommended salary adjustments is no longer 17 valid. Respondents shall apprise petitioner of their actions pursuant to this paragraph six months 18 after they receive personal service of this writ and no less than every six months thereafter until 19 the Legislature appropriates the funds needed to make recommended salary adjustments or until 20 respondents Department of Personnel Administration and Director of the Department of 21 Personnel Administration determine in an appropriate quasi-legislative proceeding that the 22 factual basis for the recommended salary adjustments is no longer valid.

23 24

25

26

27

28

JUL 28 2009



DENNIS JONES CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By

CHRISTA BEEBOUT, DEPUTY

2