

November 29, 2016

Pam Manwiller Deputy Director of Labor Relations California Department of Human Resources 1515 S Street, North Bldg., Suite 500 Sacramento, CA 95811-7258

RE: Classification Abolishment

Dear Ms. Manwiller:

This responds to your letters dated November 10 which propose to abolish several state scientific classifications. You provided 30 days for comment in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title2, Section 90. I respectfully submit these comments on behalf of the nearly 3,000 rank-and-file scientists represented by CAPS, as well as the several hundred state scientific supervisors and managers who are CAPS members and depend on us for representation regarding employment and professional issues. We'd like to meet and discuss.

We oppose abolishing any Unit 10 classification, or any state scientific classification related to Unit 10 (that includes any S10 and M10 classifications), unless the abolishment process includes two things: a comprehensive review of the classification series it's in, and direct involvement by CAPS representatives during the review process. Here's why:

A 24 month vacancy in a state scientific classification isn't by itself reason enough to abolish the classification. If there are other reasons, your letters don't list them. So we took the time to put each of these classifications into context within their class series. As you can see from the attached lists, abolishing certain classes would appear to interrupt class progression and promotional patterns.

"Cherry picking" classifications to abolish – especially within a classification series – may have unintended consequences. Abolishing mid-series classifications may hinder succession planning for those classes. It may make it more difficult to hire younger scientists who are at the beginning of their career. Furthermore, the classification may have been left vacant for legitimate workforce and/or budgetary reasons. The better approach, we think, would be to evaluate all classifications in the series concurrently, even if it includes supervisory and managerial classifications.

Headquarters

455 Capitol Mall, Suite 500 Sacramento, CA 95814-2483 5102 (916) 441-2629 FAX (916) 442-4182

E-mail: caps@capsscientists.org

Los Angeles

215 N. Marengo Avenue, Suite 185 Pasadena, CA 91101-1528

(818) 246-0629 FAX (818) 247-2348

San Francisco

100 Pine Street, Suite 750 San Francisco, CA 94111-

(415) 861-6343 FAX (415) 861-5360 CAPS previously registered objections to some of this. In past meetings regarding reclassification, we specifically objected to having the "V" level Research Scientist classifications abolished. Even though currently vacant, they represent an important promotional opportunity for many lower-level Research Scientists. Such promotional opportunities are few and far between for career rank-and-file state scientists. They represent a significant career capping opportunity for accomplished state scientists who may wish to continue their scientific work instead of supervising. If it is indeed necessary to abolish these classes, we suggest replacing them with a more useful equivalent.

What was once a bilateral process is now unilateral. This process retreats from what was once a bilateral discussion involving subject matter experts from both state management and the CAPS membership. All such reclassification issues must involve CAPS representatives from the outset. Furthermore, the discussion should be much broader and should address more important issues than simply vacant classifications. That means making the state classification program – working classifications and class series that *ARE* still in use – more functional and accurate, more accessible to those seeking state employment, more conducive to those seeking promotional opportunities in the state civil service and more useful to the state departments that hire into them.

Again, we would be happy to meet with you or your representatives to discuss our concerns. Should you proceed with this proposal without a meeting, we request to be heard before the State Personal Board.

Sincerely,

Christopher J. Voight Staff Director

Enclosure

cc: Steve Satake. CalHR LRO Dave Rechs, Governmental Operations Agency Suzanne Ambrose, State Personnel Board